( <u>CCCCCCCC</u> )
SAN BERNARDING
1
(S)

County of San Bernardino

FAS

#### STANDARD CONTRACT

	New	Vendor Code			e	L	Dent.			Contract	t Number	
x	Change		RBFCONS2		93	I-				02-10	3 A-1	
Carr	Cancel					Dant	0==			Cantuantaria	License No.	
County Department					Dept.	Org	n.		Contractor's	License No.		
LAN	ID USE	SERV	/ICES D	EPART	MENT	ADV	AD	V				
Cou	nty Depar	tment (	Contract R	Represent	tative Telephone			Total Contract Amount				
Mat	thew W.	Slow	ʻik			74372				\$ 23	6,860	
						ontract	Tuno					
	Revenue	X F	ncumbere	l 🔲 he	Inencumbei	_	Other	•				
If n	ot encumb	pered c	r revenue	Contrac	t type, provi	ide reas	on:					
	Commo	dity Co	ode	Contract	Start Date	Contra	ct End D	ate	Origi	nal Amount	Amendment A	mount
									\$	202,905	33,955	5
Fu	ınd D	ept.	Organi	ization	Appr.	Obj/R	ev Sour	се	GRC/F	PROJ/JOB No.	Amoun	t
A	AA A	DV	ADV		200	244	5 ,		11	076ER1	\$ 236.8	60
Fu	ınd D	ept.	Organi	ization	Appr.	Obj/R	ev Sour	се	GRC/F	PROJ/JOB No.	Amoun	t
				Í			ĺ					
Fu	ınd D	ept.	Organi	ization	Appr.	Obj/R	ev Sour	се	GRC/F	PROJ/JOB No.	Amoun	t
				ı			1					
Project Name				E	stimated	Pay	ment T	otal by Fiscal	Year			
Mooncamp Development			FY	,	Amount		I/D	FY	Amount	I/D		
Project EIR			01-02		\$ 67,63	<u> 35</u>						
			02-03		\$ 40,58	31						
			03-04		\$ 128,6	44						
								_				

FOR COUNTY USE ONLY

THIS Contract is entered into in the State of California by and between the County of San Bernardino, hereinafter called the County, and

Name					
	RBF Consulting Service	es	Hereinafter called	"Consultant"	
Address			_		
	14725 Alton Parkway				
	Irvine, CA 92618				
Telepho	ne	Federal ID No. or Social Security			
	(949) 472-3505	No.			

### IT IS HEREBY AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

**WHEREAS**, the County and Consultant/Contractor have previously entered into an Agreement, Contract No. 02-103, wherein the Consultant/Contractor agreed to prepare an Environmental Impact Report and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and,

WHEREAS, the County and Consultant/Contractor now desire to amend the Agreement.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of mutual covenants and conditions, the parties hereto agree the Agreement, Contract No. 02-103, is amended as follows:

1. DELETE existing Paragraph five (5) and Attachment 2 (Cost Of Services) relating thereto, and SUBSTITUTE therefor the following as a new Paragraph five (5), "As total consideration for performing all the duties of this Contract, for all costs,

expenses and obligations incurred by Consultant, County shall pay Consultant a sum not to exceed \$236,860. The project cost is itemized in Attachment 2, Budget. This sum is to be paid as follows: 1/3 of said sum (\$67,635) to commence work [NOTE: This sum has already been paid], 20% of original budget (\$40,581) upon approval of this contract amendment #1, 20% of original budget (\$40,581) upon completion of Response to Comments/delivery of the Final EIR, and the balance of the original budget plus the balance amount of Amendment #1 (\$47,482) upon completion of the Final EIR Certification. In the event of a conflict between the main body of this Contract and Attachment 2, Budget, the main body of this Contract shall control", and SUBSTITUTE the attached as a new Attachment 2 (Budget) relating thereto.

- 2. DELETE existing Paragraph eight (8) and substitute therefor the following as a new Paragraph eight (8), "The EIR shall be prepared in conformance with the Scope of Services set forth in Attachment 1 of the original Contract, and in conformance with the Scope of Services set forth in Attachment 1 of this Amendment No. 1 to the Contract, which are incorporated herein and made part of this Contract by reference".
- 3. CHANGE that portion of Paragraph eighteen (18) which now reads,
  - "...TRACY CREASON, Senior Associate Planner
    LAND USE SERVICES DEPARTMENT, ADVANCE PLANNING DIVISION
    385 N. ARROWHEAD AVENUE, THIRD FLOOR
    SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0182.",

To read,

- "...MATTHEW W. SLOWIK, Senior Associate Planner LAND USE SERVICES DEPARTMENT, ADVANCE PLANNING DIVISION 385 N. ARROWHEAD AVENUE, FIRST FLOOR SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0182.".
- 4. DELETE existing Paragraph thirty-one (31), and SUBSTITUTE therefor the following as a new Paragraph thirty-one (31), "MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION: If during the course of the administration of this Contract, the County determines that the Consultant has made a material misstatement or misrepresentation or that materially inaccurate information has been provided to the County, this Contract may be immediately terminated. If this Contract is terminated according to this provision, the County is entitled to pursue any available legal remedies."
- 5. ADD Paragraph thirty-eight (38) which shall read as follows: "VENUE. The parties acknowledge and agree that this Contract was entered into and intended to be performed in San Bernardino County, California. The parties agree that the venue for any action or claim brought by any party to this Contract will be the Central District of San Bernardino County. Each party hereby waives any law or rule of court which would allow them to request or demand a change of venue. If any action or claim concerning this Contract is brought by any third party, the parties hereto agree to use their best efforts to obtain a change of venue to the Central District of San Bernardino County."
- 6. ADD Paragraph thirty-nine (39) which shall read as follows: "EXHIBITS. All exhibits or attachments referred to are attached to this Contract and incorporated by reference."
- 7. All other provisions and terms of the Agreement, Contract No. 02-103, shall remain the same and are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 8. This Amendment shall be effective when approved by both parties.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties have caused this Contract to be executed as of the day and year first written herein.

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		RBF Consulting (Print or type name of corporation, company, contractor, etc.)				
<u> </u>		Ву _►				
Dennis Hansberger, Chairman, Board of S	upervisors	, ———	(Authorized signature - sign in blue ink)			
Dated:		Name Gary Armstrong, AICP (Print or type name of person signing Contract)				
SIGNED AND CERTIFIED THAT A COPY OF DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DELIVERED TO CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD  Clerk of the Board of Softhe County of San B	THE Supervisors	Title Senior Vice President & Principal-In-Charge (Print or Type)  Dated:				
By		Address 14725 Alton Parkway  Irvine, CA 92618				
Approved as to Legal Form	Reviewed by Contract	Compliance	Presented to BOS for signature			
<b>•</b>	<b>&gt;</b>		<b>&gt;</b>			
County Counsel			Department Head			
Date	Date		Date			
Auditor/Controller-Recorder Use Only  ☐ Contract Database ☐ FAS  Input Date Keyed By			Page of			

# ATTACHMENT 1 SCOPE OF SERVICES (FOR AMENDMENT NO. 1)

RBF Consulting has submitted this Contract Amendment No. 1 for work items not accounted for in our current Agreement (Contract Number 02-103) for the Moon Camp TT #16136 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Work Program. The Amendment is based upon the total costs to date as of March 17, 2003. The Amendment covers costs for traffic study revisions, additional air and noise modeling, the water resources analysis, preparation of the Preliminary Draft EIR, coordination, meetings and document printing. The following is a summary of factors associated with this request:

- 1. <u>Traffic Analysis</u>. The Traffic Study has involved several iterations and has required extensive consultation and advisement involving the Applicant's traffic consultant, Kunzman Associates. Most recently, Kunzman Associates was directed by the County of San Bernardino to modify buildout year growth rate assumption and methodology. Also, extensive review of appropriate mitigation criteria and determination of agency's that have oversight of the affected roadway/intersections (County of San Bernardino, Caltrans and City of Big Bear Lake) has further exacerbated the budget exceedance. Kunzman Associates recently resubmitted the revised Traffic Study which has required a comprehensive review of revisions in the EIR traffic and circulation section. The estimated additional cost is \$4,500.
- 2. <u>Air Quality</u>. Based upon changes to the traffic rates in the Kunzman Report, the long-term buildout analysis modeling will need to be recalculated. Based upon the model output, the technical analysis will need to be confirmed for accuracy. The estimated additional cost is \$2,200.
- 3. <u>Noise</u>. As with Air Quality, based upon the traffic rates in the Kunzman Report, the long-term buildout analysis modeling will need to be recalculated. Based upon the model output, the technical analysis will need to be confirmed for accuracy. The estimated additional cost is \$2,400.
- 4. <u>Water Resources</u>. The evaluation for water service and availability is requiring a substantially greater effort than originally anticipated. Cost overruns have been incurred and greater work is required. This is due in part to the uncertainties of water services, availability and the amount of consultation and research required to full ascertain the disposition of the issue. On-going research and consultations involve the County Fire Department, Big Bear Lake DWP and LAFCO. The additional estimated cost to cover the task is \$4,000 and accounts for research, consultations and necessary meeting time.
- 5. <u>Preliminary Draft EIR</u>. Additional work beyond initial edits/comments from County Staff for the Administrative Draft EIR will result in a budget exceedance. This is primarily related to additional work on the Land Use (incorporation of standard conditions), Aesthetics (incorporating design review criteria for individual housing unit development), Recreation (further research/analysis for the Alpine Pedal Path) and Alternatives (based upon layout drawing to be provided by Applicant) sections. The estimated additional cost is \$2,500.
- 6. Coordination and Meetings. Coordination The level of analysis and nature of controversy associated with the project has and will continue to require greater time to conduct research, consult with applicable contacts on subject area and coordinate with County Staff and the Applicant's consultants (i.e., traffic, sewer). This coupled with the original anticipated time frame set forth in our Agreement (completed Final EIR by October, 2002), which will be exceeded, has and will affect our operating budget for the balance of the work program. Our Amendment request of \$5,500 reflects incurred additional coordination costs of \$2,500 and anticipated additional coordination costs of \$3,000 to Draft EIR completion.

Meeting Time – The allocation of meeting time for meetings with County Staff has been exhausted as of the March 4, 2003 meeting at the County offices. RBF has added three additional staff meetings to Task 5 and

assumes five hours per meeting at a rate of \$125 for project manager Glenn Lajoie. This assumes for preparation, attendance and follow-up to the meeting. The total estimate is \$1,875.

7. <u>Printing Costs</u>. Based upon the size of the Administrative Draft EIR and more notably the Appendices, the cost for printing has exceeded our original estimate. This will also affect printing for the Draft and Final documentation. The following is a breakdown of the original printing estimate for each iteration of the EIR and includes estimated cost per sets of documents (EIR and Appendices). The updated estimate also shows a per set total and total for each iteration:

II. TASK	Number of Sets	Cost Per Set	Revised Cost Per Set	Revised Total
Administrative Draft	4	\$50	\$110	\$440
Preliminary Draft	4	\$50	\$110	\$440
Draft	100	\$65	\$110	\$11,000
Final	100	\$65	\$125	\$12,500

The total for each of the tasks listed, under the original Agreement, is \$13,400. The revised estimate, which is based upon the Administrative Draft printing, is \$24,380 resulting in a difference of \$10,980, from the original Agreement.

Our funding request for Amendment No. 1 is \$33,955. This request does not account for any additional fluctuations that may occur in the Work Program through the remainder of the project.

## **ATTACHMENT 2 BUDGET**

### (CONTRACT 02-103A-1)

Task	Original Budget	Costs to Date	Out of Scope	Revised Cost
Research and Investigation	\$720	\$720	-	\$720
Agency Consultation	\$230	\$230	-	\$230
Field Reconnaissance	\$720	\$720	-	\$720
Introduction and Purpose	\$570	\$570	-	\$570
Executive Summary	\$570	\$0	-	\$570
Project Description	\$2,070	\$2,070	-	\$2,070
Cumulative Projects Considered	\$310	\$310	-	\$310
Land Use Planning	\$2,440	\$2,440	-	\$2,440
Recreation	\$1,760	\$1,760	-	\$1,760
Population and Housing	\$1,760	\$1,760	-	\$1,760
Aesthetics/Light and Glare	\$2,180	\$2,180	-	\$2,180
View Simulations	\$10,800	\$10,800	-	\$10,800
Traffic and Circulation	\$8,130	\$8,130	\$4,500	\$12,630
Air Quality	\$4,830	\$4,830	\$2,200	\$7,030
Noise	\$4,830	\$4,830	\$2,400	\$7,230
Biological Resources	\$50,090	\$50,090	-	\$50,090
Cultural Resources	\$5,040	\$5,040	-	\$5,040
Geology and Soils	\$24,270	\$24,270	-	\$24,270
Hydrology and Drainage	\$16,835	\$16,835	-	\$16,835
Public Health and Safety	\$1,400	\$1,400	-	\$1,400
Public Utilities	\$2,830	\$2,830	\$4,000	\$6,830
Growth Inducement	\$680	\$680	-	\$680
Cumulative Impacts	\$1,730	\$1,730	-	\$1,730
Alternatives	\$2,950	\$2,950	-	\$2,950
Additional Sections	\$880	\$880	-	\$880
Graphics	\$1,310	\$1,310	-	\$1,310
Deliverables	\$200	\$200	\$240	\$440
Preliminary Draft EIR	\$5,310	\$4,000	\$2,500	\$7,810
Deliverables	\$200	\$0	\$240	\$440
Completion of Draft EIR	\$2,120	\$0	-	\$2,120
Deliverables	\$6,500	\$0	\$4,500	\$11,000
Response to Comments	\$7,600	\$0	-	\$7,600
Deliverables	\$200	\$0	-	\$200
Final EIR	\$1,650	\$0	-	\$1,650
Deliverables	\$6,500	\$0	\$6,000	\$12,500
Mitigation Monitoring	\$1,140	\$0	-	\$1,140
Deliverables	\$200	\$0	-	\$200
Coordination and Meetings	\$14,950	\$10,500	\$7,375	\$22,325
Optional Eagle Surveys	\$6,400	\$6,400		\$6,400
Totals	\$202,905	\$170,465	\$33,955	\$236,860